UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,727	10/10/2006	Yechiel Shai	85189-16300	8544
28765 WINSTON & S	7590 06/26/200 STRAWN LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA			LUKTON, DAVID	
1700 K STREE WASHINGTO	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@winston.com mwalker@winston.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/560,727	SHAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DAVID LUKTON	1654	

	DAVID LUKTON	1034	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FII	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremed and continuous formation of the second in the sec	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	E below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 15. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 39-55.		i be entered and an e.	xpianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other: See comments on accompanying sheet.	,		
	/David Lukton/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1654	

For purposes of this advisory action, claim 15 has been characterized as allowable, although it is to be noted that the range of 2-15 amino acids (line 2 of the claim) is not consistent with the number of amino acids in SEQ ID NOS: 1-38.

. . . .

Claims 39-55 remain withdrawn. These claims will not be rejoined in their current form. Applicants are not required to cancel all of them, but some rewording of the claims will be necessary. Following are some comments concerning the withdrawn claims:

- By invoking the term "pharmaceutical composition" claim 39 is mandating the presence of a second component, but without providing any indication of what it might be. Perhaps a carrier is intended.
- Claim 39 lacks enablement. The term "infection" includes those caused by bacteria, fungi, viruses, parasite and even prions. However, not all of these infectious agents have been shown to respond to the peptides of claim 15.
- Claim 47 implies that acne is an infection, which is not the case.
- Claim 48 encompasses all forms of cancer, but only inhibition of prostate cancer cell growth shown (page 40, specification).
- Claim 53 recites the term "disinfect"; this term encompasses the possibility of eradicating all of the various infectious agents; applicants have not shown disinfection, even for bacteria.